## BEFORE THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD EASTERN WASHINGTON REGION STATE OF WASHINGTON

BRODEUR/FUTURWISE, VINCE PANESKO AND WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,

Case No. 09-1-0010c

Petitioners,

## ORDER FINDING COMPLIANCE AND CLOSING CASE

٧.

(West Richland UGA)

BENTON COUNTY,

Respondent,

CITY OF WEST RICHLAND, THE ESTATE OF THAYNE WISER, CLAYNE WISER, KURT WISER, and TALON WISER,

Intervenors.

16

17

18

19

20

15

## I. SYNOPSIS

To achieve compliance with the Growth Management Act, Benton County adopted Resolution 11-089, restoring the City of West Richland Urban Growth Area to its pre-2009 size. The Board determined that the County's action brought it into compliance with the Growth Management Act.

21

22

23

## II. DISCUSSION

On February 23, 2009, the Board of County Commissioners adopted Benton County Resolution 09-143 which amended the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map to add 747 acres of land to the City of West Richland's Urban Growth Area.<sup>1</sup>

2425

26

<sup>1</sup> Futurewise Petition for Review (April 10, 2009), Tab 09-143.

ORDER FINDING COMPLIANCE AND CLOSING CASE Case 09-1-0010c April 26, 2011 Page 1 Growth Management Hearings Board 319 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE, Suite 103 PO Box 40953 Olympia, WA 98504-0953 Phone: 360 586-0260 Fax: 360 664-8975  In April 2009, Petitioners John Brodeur, Futurewise, and Vince Panesko filed timely Petitions for Review (PFR) challenging Resolution 09-143, and a Hearing on the Merits was held on November 5, 2009 in Kennewick.

In the December 2, 2009 Final Decision and Order (FDO), the Board found that there was no substantial evidence in the record to support the 747-acre **size** of the UGA expansion area. The Board concluded that Petitioners had carried their burden of proof in demonstrating that Benton County's action adopting Resolution 09-143 violated RCW 36.70A.110 and RCW 36.70A.115.<sup>2</sup>

On May 24, 2010, Benton County adopted Resolution 10-301 in an attempt to achieve compliance with the GMA. At the City's request, Benton County rescinded Resolution 09-143 and amended the Comprehensive Plan to reduce the previous UGA expansion area from 747 acres down to 545 acres.

On September 24, 2010, the Board issued its Order Finding Continuing Non-Compliance (West Richland UGA), in which the Board concluded Benton County's adoption of Resolution 10-301 enlarging the West Richland Urban Growth Area violated RCW 36.70A.110 and RCW 36.70A.115, was not supported by substantial evidence in the record, and was clearly erroneous in view of the entire record before the Board and in light of the goals and requirements of the GMA.

On January 24, 2011, the Board of County Commissioners of Benton County adopted Resolution 11-089, which rescinded Resolution 10-301 to gain compliance with the GMA. In Futurewise's Response to Benton County's Statement of Compliance Action, Petitioners supported the rescission action by Resolution 11-089, stating that it effectively reduced the UGA back to the status as it existed prior to the adoptions of Resolutions 09-143 and 10-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FDO at 17.

301. Futurewise also supported the Board issuing an order finding compliance in this 1 appeal. 2 III. ORDER 3 Benton County's adoption of Resolution 11-089 brings Benton County into compliance with 4 the Growth Management Act. This case is closed. 5 6 Entered this 26th day of April, 2011. 7 Raymond L. Paolella, Board Member 8 9 Joyce Mulliken, Board Member 10 William Roehl, Board Member 11 Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.300 this is a final order of the Board. 12 Reconsideration. Pursuant to WAC 242-02-832, you have ten (10) days from the mailing of 13 this Order to file a petition for reconsideration. Petitions for reconsideration shall follow the 14 format set out in WAC 242-02-832. The original and three copies of the petition for reconsideration, together with any argument in support thereof, should be filed by mailing. 15 faxing or delivering the document directly to the Board, with a copy to all other parties of record and their representatives. Filing means actual receipt of the document at the Board 16 office. RCW 34.05.010(6), WAC 242-02-330. The filing of a petition for reconsideration is 17 not a prerequisite for filing a petition for judicial review. A response to a Motion for Reconsideration must be filed within 5 days of the filing of the motion. 18 Judicial Review. Any party aggrieved by a final decision of the Board may appeal the 19 decision to superior court as provided by RCW 36.70A.300(5). Proceedings for judicial review may be instituted by filing a petition in superior court according to the procedures 20 specified in chapter 34.05 RCW, Part V, Judicial Review and Civil Enforcement. The petition 21 for judicial review of this Order shall be filed with the appropriate court and served on the Board, the Office of the Attorney General, and all parties within thirty days after service of 22 the final order, as provided in RCW 34.05.542. Service on the Board may be accomplished in person, by fax or by mail, but service on the Board means actual receipt of the document 23 at the Board office within thirty days after service of the final order. 24 Service. This Order was served on you the day it was deposited in the United States mail.

RCW 34.05.010(19).

25

26